## On Case

### 2ac – Solvency – AT: Circumvention

#### Won’t circumvent.

Johnson, former Pentagon general counsel, 3-18-13

[Jeh Charles, “Keynote address at the Center on National Security at Fordham Law School: A “Drone Court”: Some Pros and Cons” <http://www.lawfareblog.com/2013/03/jeh-johnson-speech-on-a-drone-court-some-pros-and-cons/>, accessed 9-3-13, TAP]

Further, as so-called “targeted killings” become more controversial with time, I believe there are AND

wouldn’t mind the added comfort of judicial imprimatur on their decisions.

#### Obama would comply with the court

Vladeck, American University law professor, 2009

[Stephen, “The Long War, the Federal Courts, and the Necessity / Legality Paradox” <http://digitalcommons.wcl.american.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1002&context=facsch_bkrev>, p.922-3, accessed 10-5-13, TAP]

Moreover, even if one believes that suspensions are unreviewable, there is a critical

AND

comply with a Supreme Court decision. But perhaps I am naïve.184

### 2ac – China Reps K

#### China reps k wrong.

Callahan, University of Manchester politics professor, 2005

[William, Review of International Studies / Volume 31 / Issue 04 / October 2005, “How to understand China: the dangers and opportunities of being a rising power” Cambridge Journals Online, p.711-2, accessed 9-30-13, TAP]

Although ‘China threat theory’ is ascribed to the Cold War thinking of foreigners who

AND

more interesting to examine the debates that produced the threat/opportunity dynamic.

### Heg

#### Decline causes US lashout.

Lieven, New America Foundation, 2011

[Anatol, 7-11-11, “U.S.-Russian Relations and the Rise of China” <http://newamerica.net/publications/policy/us_russian_relations_and_the_rise_of_china>, accessed 9-28-13, TAP]

At the same time, it would be very unwise to be complacent about the

AND

between the U.S. and China in the decades to come.

#### Heg underlies every reason for peace.

Owen, associate professor in the University of Virginia’s Department of Politics, 11

(John, 2-11-11, “Don’t Discount Hegemony,” http://www.cato-unbound.org/2011/02/11/john-owen/dont-discount-hegemony, accessed 8-12-13, CMM)

Our colleagues at Simon Fraser University are brave indeed. That may sound like a

AND

are now seeing is about much more than the humbling of a superpower.

## k

#### 6. The absolutism of their alternative results in tunnel vision – evaluate consequences.

Isaac, Indiana University James H. Rudy Professor of Political Science and Center for the Study of Democracy and Public Life director, Spring 2002

(Jeffrey C. “Ends, Means, and Politics,” Dissent Magazine Vol. 49 Issue 2, p32)

Power is not a dirty word or an unfortunate feature of the world. It

AND

not true believers. It promotes arrogance. And it undermines political effectiveness.

#### Constructing prior questions doesn’t disprove the aff – it results in generalizations and inaction.

Owen, University of Southampton political theory professor, 2002

[David, Millennium Journal of International Studies, Vol 31 No 3, “Re-orienting International Relations: On Pragmatism, Pluralism, and Practical Reason” Sagepub, accessed 9-30-13, TAP]

Commenting on the ‘philosophical turn’ in IR, Wæver remarks that ‘[a]¶

AND

helped to promote the IR theory wars by motivating this¶ philosophical turn.

The first danger with the philosophical turn is that it has an inbuilt tendency to

AND

it¶ is not the only or even necessarily the most important kind.

The second danger run by the philosophical turn is that because prioritisation of ontology and

AND

the promotion of the pursuit of generality over that of empirical¶ validity.

The third danger is that the preceding two combine to encourage the¶ formation of

AND

first¶ and second dangers, and so a potentially vicious circle arises.

#### Turn – their method turns the ballot into a referendum on privilege within the debate community – that trades off with out-of-round community solutions that are necessary to solve.

Ritter ’13
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The fiction of social change through debate abuses the win–loss structure of ¶

AND

of social issues within and outside the debate ¶ community by prompting backlash.

#### C. Whiteness not the root cause of the aff – it’s too sweeping.

Shelby, Harvard University African and African American Studies professor, 2005

[Tommie, also a philosophy professor at Harvard, “We Who Are Dark: The Philosophical Foundations of Black Solidarity” <http://books.google.com/books?id=8W7W6F3fCPkC&q=others+might+challenge#v=snippet&q=others%20might%20challenge&f=false>, p.147-8, accessed 10-23-13, TAP]

Others might challenge the distinction between ideological and structural causes of black disadvantage, on

AND

racism or when such policies are unfairly applied by racially biased public officials.

There are very real empirical difficulties in determining the specific causal significance of the factors

AND

legislators and those they represent cannot simply defer to social-scientific experts.

We must instead rely on open public debate—among politicians, scholars, policy

AND

developments (such as immigration policy or reduced federal funding for higher education).

#### Don’t prioritize certain knowledge.

Conway, Penn State University philosophy professor, 1997

[Daniel, “Nietzsche and the political” <http://www.amazon.com/Nietzsche-Political-Thinking-Daniel-Conway/dp/0415100682>, p.135-6, accessed 1-6-14, TAP]

This preference is clearly political in nature, and Haraway makes no pretense of aspiring

AND

at the expense of the greater objectivity that they might otherwise have gained.

#### 5. Case turns the K, not the other way around

Joshua Goldstein, American University International Relations Professor, 2001, “War and Gender: How Gender Shapes the War System and Vice Versa,” p.411-412

I began this book hoping to contribute in some way to a deeper understanding of

AND

on injustice as the main cause of war seems to be empirically inadequate.

#### 6. Structural violence doesn’t escalate – prefer proximate causes

Hinde and Pulkkinnen, Cambridge psychology professor and University of Jyväskylä psychology professor, 2000

[Robert and Lea,  [DRAFT Background Paper for Working Group 1: HUMAN AGGRESSIVENESS AND WAR, 50th Pugwash Conference On Science and World Affairs: "Eliminating the Causes of War" Queens' College, Cambridge , UK, 3-8 August <http://www.pugwash.org/reports/pac/pac256/WG1draft1.htm>](http://www.pugwash.org/reports/pac/pac256/WG1draft1.htm)]

People are capable of perpetrating the most terrible acts of violence on their fellows.

AND

multiple causes, and the interactions between the causal factors remain largely unexplored.

#### The education produced by the aff solves.

Young, Associate Professor of Communication and Director of Forensics, Wayne State University, 13

(Kelly Michael, 9-4-13, “Why Should We Debate About Restriction of Presidential War Powers,” http://public.cedadebate.org/node/13, accessed 10-4-13, CMM)

Beyond its obviously timeliness, we believed debating about presidential war powers was important because

AND

these students’ opinions will stand as an important potential check on the presidency.

#### AND The alt is vague – that’s a reason to reject the team because it makes them a moving target that we can’t test to determine if it is true, and vague alts fail – utopianism doesn’t hit the ground.

Farber, City University of New York Brooklyn College political science professor, 9-13-12

[Samuel, “Occupy Wall Street and the Art of Demanding” <http://truth-out.org/opinion/item/11507-occupy-wall-street-and-the-art-of-demanding>, accessed 9-15-12, TAP]

The OWS reluctance to formulate demands might have been beneficial initially in that it might

AND

where they are – to point B – where they want to be.

#### Whiteness is hegemonic but not monolithic – pragmatic redeployment of some forms of whiteness can be strategic.

Winant, University of California Santa Clara sociology professor, 1997

[Howard, New Left Review, “Behind Blue Eyes: Contemporary White Racial Politics” <http://www.soc.ucsb.edu/faculty/winant/whitness.html>, accessed 12-31-13, TAP]

On the practical level, the argument goes, whites can become¶ "race

AND

to disrupt the "white club's" ability to¶ enforce its supremacy.

It is easy to sympathize with this analysis, at least up to a¶

AND

origins among¶ the militants of the civil rights movement (Evans 1980).

The problems come when deeper theoretical and practical problems¶ are raised. Despite their

AND

than trying to repudiate it, we shall have to rearticulate¶ it.

That sounds like a daunting task, and of course it is, but it

AND

, which I shall address in the concluding¶ section of this paper.

Notwithstanding these criticisms of the abolitionist project, we¶ consider many of its insights to be vital components in the¶ process of reformulating, or synthesizing, a progressive approach¶ to whiteness. Its attention is directed toward prescisely the¶ place where the neo-liberal racial project is weak: the point at¶ which white identity constitutes a crucial support to white¶ supremacy, and a central obstacle to the achievement of¶ substantive social equality and racial justice.

CONCLUDING NOTES: WHITENESS AND CONTEMPORARY POLITICS

In a situation of racial dualism, as Du Bois observed more than¶ 90

AND

it, has developed as¶ "the problem of the 20th century."

So what's new? Only that, as a result of incalculable human¶ effort, suffering, and sacrifice, we now realize that these¶ truths apply across the board. Whites and whiteness can no¶ longer be exempted from the comprehensive racialization process¶ that is the hallmark of US history and social structure.

This is the present-day context for racial conflict and thus for¶ US politics in general, since race continues to play its¶ designated role of crystallizing all the fundamental issues in US¶ society. As always, we articulate our anxieties in racial terms:¶ wealth and poverty, crime and punishment, gender and sexuality,¶ nationality and citizenship, culture and power, are all¶ articulated in the US primarily through race.

So what's new? It's the problematic of whiteness that has¶ emerged as the

AND

forms of¶ racism as a convenient rationale for white supremacy.[7]

Whiteness -- visible whiteness, resurgent whiteness, whiteness as¶ a color, whiteness

AND

¶ while it lives, the strength of white supremacy is in doubt.

The racial projects of the right are clear efforts to resist the¶ challenge to

AND

, the difference, which the 1960s¶ movement challenge assigned to it.

The racial projects of the left are the movements' successors (as¶ is neoconservatism

AND

way¶ that it no longer has the power to impede class alliances.

Although the differences and indeed the hostility -- between the¶ neoliberal and abolitionist projects

AND

the ongoing¶ commitment to white supremacy on the part of many whites.

Both of these positions need to draw on each other, not only in¶

AND

to find the pragmatic "common ground" necessary to¶ create them.

Abolitionists could also benefit from a recognition that on a¶ pragmatic basis, whites

AND

you don't know how American you are" (Thompson 1995, 429).

#### Identity politics only reproduces injury through its attachment to that injury – the alternative is not to forget the past but to reconceptualize it as something actionable.

Bhambra, University of Warwick sociology professor, and Margree, University of Brighton cultural studies professor, 2010

[Gurminder and Victoria, “Identity Politics and the Need for a ‘Tomorrow’” <http://www.academia.edu/471824/Identity_Politics_and_the_Need_for_a_Tomorrow_>, p.62-4, accessed 11-11-13, TAP]

2 The Reification of Identity We wish to turn now to a related problem within

AND

to the identity being foreclosed through its attention to past-based grievances.

#### The state can be used strategically to fight racism – it is a DA to the alt.

Smith, Associate Professor of Media and Cultural Studies at UC Riverside, 12

(Andrea, “The Moral Limits of the Law: Settler Colonialism and the Anti-Violence Movement,” settler colonial studies 2, 2 (2012) Special Issue: Karangatia: Calling Out Gender and Sexuality in Settler Societies, http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/2201473X.2012.10648842, accessed 10-18-13, CMM)

Given the logics of settler colonialism, it may seem to be a hopeless contradiction

AND

strategic effects rather than based on the moral statements they propose to make?

#### 15. Neolib key to warming – we are passed the tipping point, only tech can solve.

Atkisson, President and CEO of environmental sustainability group, ‘1

(Alan, The Atkisson Group, international sustainability group that advises governments and corporations, “Sustainability is Dead – Long Live Sustainability,” 10-18-01, <http://www.rrcap.unep.org/uneptg06/course/Robert/SustainabilityManifesto2001.pdf>, accessed 9-10-10) PM

Our economies and technologies are changing certain basic structures of planetary life, such as

AND

with relatively minor risks to the greater whole associated with their inevitable collapse.

#### Violence is already institutionalized – ending drones just results in a worse form of militarism.

Anderson, Washington University law professor, 2009

[Kenneth, “Targeted Killing in U.S. Counterterrorism Strategy and Law” <http://www.brookings.edu/~/media/research/files/papers/2009/5/11%20counterterrorism%20anderson/0511_counterterrorism_anderson.pdf>, p.9, accessed 12-22-13, TAP]

The United States has long accepted a legal, political, and policy space for

AND

. domestic law quietly and intentionally preserved the category while strengthening the oversight.

This category of force is now an obvious means by which to confront non-

AND

military activity against terrorists or taking no very meaningful action at all.36

#### No risk of militarism escalating – alt doesn’t solve it

Gray, University of Reading international relations professor, 2007

[Colin, Centre for Strategic Studies director, “The Implications of Preemptive and Preventive War Doctrines: A Reconsideration” <http://www.ciaonet.org/wps/ssi10561/ssi10561.pdf>, p.45-7, accessed 1-20-14, TAP]

7. A policy that favors preventive warfare expresses a futile quest for absolute security

AND

strategy, though not always policy, must be nothing if not pragmatic.

#### No tradeoff – perm solves.

Hardt, Duke University literature professor, and Negri, Collège International de Philosophie professor, 2000

[Michael, phd in comparative literature from the Univ Washington, and Antonio, Univ Vincennes, “Empire” p.44-5, TAP]

We are well aware that in affirming this thesis we are swimming against the current

AND

the problem rests on a false dichotomy between the global and the local,

assuming that the global entails homogenization and undifferentiated identity whereas the local preserves heterogeneity

AND

some sense outside and protected against the global flows of capital and Empire.

#### Trends all point toward equality – the world is getting better even if it isn’t getting perfect.

Currie, American Enterprise Institute The American Magazine managing editor, 2008

[Duncan, “The Long March of Racial Progress” <http://www.american.com/archive/2008/november-11-08/the-long-march-of-racial-progress/>, accessed 10-23-13, TAP]

The story of race relations in America is one of extraordinary change and transformation.¶

AND

, about 14 percent of young black husbands were married to white women.”